

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/707,526	12/19/2003	Patrick C. Urschel	A3-1700	1525
27127	7590 06/06/2005		EXAMINER	
HARTMAN & HARTMAN, P.C.			CHOI, STEPHEN	
552 EAST 700 NORTH VALPARAISO, IN 46383			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			DATE MAILED. 06/06/2006	

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		m	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	10/707,526	URSCHEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Stephen Choi	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	VIO CET TO EVEIDE A A	AONTU(C) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuth Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thi I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	<u>April 2005</u> .		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-5,10-13,15-17 and</u>	<u>d 20</u> is/are withdrawn from	consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,6-9,14,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		,	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin		_	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 December 2003</u> is/			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action of form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 	,	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intention	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. In view of applicant's amendment filed on 18 April 2005, the restriction requirement between groups I-II made in the Office action mailed on 20 October 2004 is moot. Claims 2-5, 10-13, 15-17, and 20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leo (US 2,006,643).

Leo discloses all the recited steps of the invention including:

- a) providing a cutting means comprising at least one cutting element disposed in a cutting plane that is not vertical (50);
- b) individually delivering food products to the cutting means by causing the food products to free-fall through a feed passage (at 20) and then free-fall through the cutting means entirely under the force of gravity and on a path that is approximately normal to the cutting plane (page 2, left col., lines 55-57 and right col., lines 13-23);

Art Unit: 3724

c) contacting the food products and positioning the food products so that they free-fall on the path at a predetermined location within a cross-section of the feed passage as the food products free- fall through the feed passage and prior to encountering the cutting means so as to produce size-reduced products of substantially consistent size and shape (page 1 left col., lines 34-37).

Regarding claims 6-7, only the outer peripheries of the food products contact the inner wall of the element 20 as they free-fall through the feed passage that are oriented substantially vertical.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8-9, 14, and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leo in view of Shadduck (US 2,572,770).

Leo discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a plurality of uniformly distributed resilient members extending radially inward into the feed passage toward a central axis. Shadduck discloses a plurality of uniformly distributed resilient members (36) extending radially inward into the feed passage for centering the food products. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ resilient members as taught by Shadduck on the

Art Unit: 3724

device of Leo in order to center the food products within a casing having a cross section size larger than a cross section size of the food products so as to produce substantially uniform sized pieces.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 18 April 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive and arguments with respect to claims 8-9, 14, and 18-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicants contend that Leo does not disclose the limitation "causing the food products to ...free-fall through the cutting means entirely under the force of gravity".

As set forth above, Leo does disclose such a limitation. A food product falls by gravity through the cutting means (50). See (page 2, left col., lines 55-57 and right col., lines 13-23).

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3724

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

1 June 2005

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER